

### Background

State estimation of a multi-layer flow field (e.g., ocean) with surface observations is a challenging. One widely used method that linearly connects different layers with a regression model can be inaccurate when the flow is highly turbulent[1].

MULTI-LAYER FLOW WITH SURFACE OBSERVATIONS: A GENERAL FORM

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}_{\ell}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{v}_{1}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}, t) + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}} \dot{\mathbf{W}}_{\ell}, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, L$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{v}}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\mathsf{L} + \mathsf{D})\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{F} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{v}} \dot{\mathbf{W}}_{\mathbf{v}},$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_{\ell} = (x_{\ell}, y_{\ell})^{\mathrm{T}}$  is the  $\ell$ th tracer's displacement.  $\mathbf{v} = (\dots, \mathbf{v}_i, \dots)^{\mathrm{T}}$  is the planar flow velocities of I layers. L and D are linear dispersion and dissipation.  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$  is a nonlinear quadratic form. F is a constant forcing.  $\Sigma \dot{\mathbf{W}}$  is the Gaussian white noise  $\dot{\mathbf{W}}$  multiplied by the noise strength matrix  $\Sigma$ .

# CONDITIONAL GAUSSIAN NONLINEAR SYSTEM

The conditional Gaussian nonlinear system (CGNS) is very common in geophysical flows:  $\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}_1}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{A}_0(\mathbf{u}_1, t) + \mathbf{A}_1(\mathbf{u}_1, t)\mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{\Sigma}_1(\mathbf{u}_1, t)\dot{\mathbf{W}}_1,$ (2a)(2b)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}_2}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}} = \mathbf{a}_0(\mathbf{u}_1, t) + \mathbf{a}_1(\mathbf{u}_1, t)\mathbf{u}_2 + \mathbf{\Sigma}_2(\mathbf{u}_1, t)\mathbf{\dot{W}}_2,$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_1}$  are observed variables and  $\mathbf{u}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_2}$  are hidden variables.

- Nonlinear & Non-Gaussian:  $A_0$ ,  $a_0$ ,  $A_1$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $\Sigma_1$ ,  $\Sigma_2$  can nonlinearly depend on  $u_1$ . Thus, the CGNS can be highly nonlinear, the marginal distributions of  $\mathbf{u}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_2$  can be strongly non-Gaussian.
- **Conditional Gaussian**: Given an observed trajectory of  $\mathbf{u}_1$ , the posterior of  $\mathbf{u}_2$  is Gaussian:  $\mathbf{u}_2(t)|\mathbf{u}_1(s \le t) \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2(t), \mathsf{R}_2(t)),$

with mean  $\mu_2(t)$  and covariance  $\mathsf{R}_2(t)$  solvable through the analytic formulae

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\mathbf{a}_{0} + \mathbf{a}_{1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}) + \mathsf{R}_{2}\mathsf{A}_{1}^{*}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{*})^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{u}_{1}}{\mathrm{d}t} - (\mathbf{A}_{0} + \mathsf{A}_{1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2})\right), \qquad (4a)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathsf{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \mathbf{a}_{1}\mathsf{R}_{2} + \mathsf{R}_{2}\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}^{*} - (\mathsf{R}_{2}\mathsf{A}_{1}^{*})(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}^{*})^{-1}(\mathsf{A}_{1}\mathsf{R}_{2}). \qquad (4b)$$

Thanks to this blessing of CGNS, the **conditional Gaussian data assimilation (CGDA)** can solve the posterior mean and covariance exactly and efficiently based on (4).

MULTI-STEP DA FOR MULTI-LAYER FLOW

Consider a two-layer flow  $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)^T$  with surface tracer observations  $\mathbf{x}(s)$ . We aim for the posterior  $P_{\mathbf{V}(t)|\mathbf{X}(s),s < t} (\mathbf{v}|\{\mathbf{x}(s)\}_{s < t}),$ 

- 1. The first DA step solves the surface-layer flow posterior  $P(\mathbf{v}_1|\{\mathbf{x}(s)\}_{s < t})$  given tracer obs.
- 2. Sample from  $P(\mathbf{v}_1 | \{\mathbf{x}(s)\}_{s \le t})$  to get  $\{\mathbf{v}_1^{(n)}\}$ , as pseudo-observations of the upper-layer flow.
- 3. The second DA step solves the lower-layer flow posterior  $P(\mathbf{v}_2|\mathbf{v}_1^{(n)}, {\mathbf{x}(s)}_{s < t})$  for each sample. The ultimate posterior that combines  $N_s$  samples is

$$\begin{split} P\left(\mathbf{v}_{2}|\{\mathbf{x}(s)\}_{s\leq t}\right) &= \int_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} P\left(\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}|\{\mathbf{x}(s)\}_{s\leq t}\right) d\mathbf{v}_{1} \text{ (marginal probability)} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{v}_{1}} P\left(\mathbf{v}_{2}|\mathbf{v}_{1}, \{\mathbf{x}(s)\}_{s\leq t}\right) P\left(\mathbf{v}_{1}|\{\mathbf{x}(s)\}_{s\leq t}\right) d\mathbf{v}_{1} \text{ (conditioned)} \\ &\approx \frac{1}{N_{s}} \sum_{n}^{N_{s}} P\left(\mathbf{v}_{2}|\mathbf{v}_{1}^{(n)}, \{\mathbf{x}(s)\}_{s\leq t}\right) \text{ (Monte - Carlo estimation)} \\ &\approx \frac{1}{N_{s}} \sum_{n}^{N_{s}} P\left(\mathbf{v}_{2}|\{\mathbf{v}_{1}^{(n)}(s)\}_{s\leq t}, \{\mathbf{x}(s)\}_{s\leq t}\right) \text{ (if conditioned)} \end{split}$$

# A NONLINEAR DATA ASSIMILATION SCHEME FOR MULTI-LAYER FLOW FIELD WITH SURFACE OBSERVATIONS

# **Zhongrui Wang**<sup>1</sup> NAN CHEN <sup>1</sup> DI QI <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

# Multi-step CGDA



### ONE-STEP CGDA

Comparing the flow-observation system (1) to CGNS (2), we can fit (1) into the CGNS framework by dropping the quadratic term  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$ . The one-step CGDA adopts a linear stochastic flow model and perform CGDA to all layers at once. It is equivalent to the previous work using linear regression[1]

### Multi-step CGDA

- 1. The one-step CGDA can work as the first step of multi-step CGDA to recover the surface-layer flow.
- 2. Sample from the upper-layer flow posterior to get pseudo-observations.
- 3. At the second DA step, we drop nonlinear terms of the *lower-layer flow*, but *preserve nonlinear* terms of the upper-layer flow, to fit a CG nonlinear stochastic flow model, and perform CGDA to solve the lower-layer posterior.
- 4. Sequentially apply step 2 and 3 top-down till to the bottom.

# PROS OF MULTI-STEP CGDA

The one-step CGDA that completely linearizes the flow model tends to be an aggressive simplification in most real-world applications. As the flow model contributes a major part of the flow-observation system's complexity, and nonlinearities contribute a major part of the flow model's complexity.

The multi-step CGDA bypasses the oversimplification by adopting **Monte-Carlo estimation**, and performing CGDA layer by layer. It allows

- analytic formulae to solve the posterior mean and covariance
- preserves the nonlineary of the surface observation process at the first DA step, and
- the nonlinearity of the upper-layer flow at the subsequent DA steps
- **non-Gaussian lower-layer posterior**, which is given by a mixture of Gaussians

(1a)
(1b)

(5)

### onal probability)

(6)

ation)

l on trajectory).

<sup>2</sup>Purdue University

# APPLICATION TO TWO-LAYER QG SYSTEM



Number of time steps	$N_t = 205000$			0.45	-
Time step	dt = 0.002			0.40	
Domain	$[-\pi,\pi)^2$			0.10	
Grid points (Fourier modes)	$K_x = K_y = 128$		.2	0.35 ·	1
Spectral truncation radius	<i>r</i> = 16		ISE ψ	0.30 ·	
Observation noise strength	$\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 0.1$		RM		
Number of tracers	L = 256			0.25	1
Ensemble size	$N_{s} = 16$			0.20	
Deformation wavenumber	$k_{d} = 10$			0.15	
Rossby parameter	$\beta = 22$				1
Zonal mean flow	$U_0 = 0$				
Zonal shear flow	U = 1				
Ekman damping	$\kappa = 9$				
Hyperviscosity	$v = 10^{-12}$				-
Topography h	$h = 40(\cos(x) + 2\cos(2y))$			10 <sup>2</sup>	
Table 1.Default experiment parameters			_		
F F			ne (s	101	
Regime	β = 22	β=111	lock tir	100 -	-
One-step CGDA	0.400	0.137	wall-c	10-	-
Multi-step CGDA	0.291	0.113		10-1 -	
Multi-step CGDA /w constR	0.303	-			

ble 2. Time mean RMSEs of DA methods in turbuler regime ( $\beta = 22$ ) and less turbulent regime ( $\beta = 111$ ). "/w constR" means with constant covariance.

**Parameter estimation**: A better state estimation usually also leads to a better parameter estimation. Constant forcing parameters, e.g., topography, can be estimated using the multi-step CG smoother. Applications include **recovering the ocean bottom topography** based on tracers.

- Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology.
- available upon request.



### FUTURE WORK

Truncation radius

### Reference

[1] Anne Molcard, Annalisa Griffa, and Tamay M. Özgökmen. Lagrangian Data Assimilation in Multilayer Primitive Equation Ocean Models. January 2005. doi:10.1175/JTECH-1686.1. URL https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/atot/22/1/jtech-1686\_1.xml. Section:

2 4

Computational cost varying with (a) spectral truncation radius, and (b) ensemble size

[2] Zhongrui Wang, Nan Chen, and Di. Qi. A Closed-Form Nonlinear Data Assimilation Algorithm for Multi-Layer Flow Fields. 2024. preprint